


# CAYUGA CREEK SUB-WATERSHED SVAP MILEAGE 2021



**Stream Visual Assessment Protocol - SVAP**

- 10 Calculated Mile Marker
- Cayuga Creek
- Slate Bottom Creek
- North Branch Plum Bottom Creek
- Little Buffalo Creek
- Right Branch Cayuga Creek
- French Brook
- Fenton Creek
- HUC 12 Sub-Watershed
- Waterway
- Municipality
- County

SVAP Assessment Reach ID


**A B C D E F G H I J K L M N**

NOTE: Waterway reaches were assessed using a modified SVAP procedure from the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service. 31.54 miles of waterways were assessed out of a total of 356.91 miles of waterways.



This map was prepared with funding provided by the New York State Department of State under Title 11 of the Environmental Protection Fund.


Sources: Esri, TomTom, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community. Sources: Esri, USGS, NGA, NASA, CGIAR, N Robinson, NCEAS, NLS, OS, NMA, Geodatastyrelsen, Rijkswaterstaat, GSA, Geoland, FEMA, Intermap and the GIS user community




# CAYUGA CREEK

STREAM VISUAL ASSESSMENT PROTOCOL (SVAP) RESULTS FOR REACH A & B


AVERAGE OVERALL SVAP RATING: **6.6**




## Elevation Profile





## REACH A Channel Depth



## REACH B Channel Depth



## REACH A Channel Width



← FLOW DIRECTION Creek Mile (38.30 at Tailwater, 28.08 at Headwater) → FLOW DIRECTION

# Classification Scheme for SVAP Parameters

Color Classification				
SVAP Score (0 – 10)	0 – 2.5	2.6 – 5	5.1 – 7.5	7.6 – 10



REACH A

REACH B

## Channel Conditions

Score ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre ■ Poor



Score ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre ■ Poor



## Stream Bank Hardening

■ NO ■ YES


■ NO ■ YES



## Aggradation/Incision

■ Aggradation ▲ Incision



■ Aggradation ▲ Incision



## Bank Stability

Average LB/RB ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre ■ Poor



Average LB/RB ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre ■ Poor



## Bank Condition

■ Hardened Structure ■ Natural



■ Hardened Structure ■ Natural



## Riparian Zone

Average LB/RB ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre ■ Poor

Average LB/RB ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre ■ Poor



Creek Mile (38.30 at Tailwater, 28.08 at Headwater)




REACH A


REACH B

Water Appearance

Score Excellent Good Mediocre Poor




Score Excellent Good Mediocre Poor




Nutrient Enrichment

Amount Low Mild Moderate Severe NE Notes Algal growth Both Dense Aquatic Plant Beds




Amount Low Mild Moderate Severe NE Notes Algal growth Both Dense Aquatic Plant Beds



Fish Habitat


Score Excellent Good Mediocre Poor

Note: Overall Score of Fish Habitat was calculated by averaging scores for the five variables shown below.




OVERALL SCORE

- Canopy Cover Pools Invertebrate Habitat Instream Cover Riffle Embeddedness




Manure Presence

Evidence of Animals zone None





Evidence of Animals zone None




Invasive Species

- Cow Parsnip Japanese Knotweed Phragmites Purple Loosestrife




Aquatic Vegetation

Both Emergent Submerged




Both Emergent Submerged




OVERALL SVAP RATING

Score Excellent Good Mediocre



CAYUGA CREEK


Score Excellent Good Mediocre



# MIDDLE CAYUGA CREEK


STREAM VISUAL ASSESSMENT PROTOCOL (SVAP) FOR REACH C

AVERAGE OVERALL SVAP RATING: **6.9**



**MIDDLE CAYUGA CREEK SUB-WATERSHED**


**Elevation Profile** Substrate ◆ Bedrock/Concrete ◆ Boulder ◆ Cobble ◆ Gravel ◆ Sand



**Channel Depth**



**Channel Width**



← **FLOW DIRECTION** Creek Mile (27.18 at Tailwater, 18.40 at Headwater) **FLOW DIRECTION** →


# Classification Scheme for SVAP Parameters

Color Classification				
SVAP Score (0 – 10)	0 – 2.5	2.6 – 5	5.1 – 7.5	7.6 – 10

REACH C


## Channel Conditions

Score ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre



## Stream Bank Hardening

■ NO ■ YES




## Aggradation/Incision

■ Aggradation ▲ Incision



## Bank Stability

Average LB/RB ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre ■ Poor




## Bank Condition

■ Hardened Structure ■ Natural



## Riparian Zone

Average LB/RB ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre ■ Poor



← FLOW DIRECTION


Creek Mile (27.18 at Tailwater, 18.40 at Headwater)

← FLOW DIRECTION

# REACH C


## Water Appearance

Score ■ Excellent ■ Good



## Nutrient Enrichment


Amount ■ Low ■ Mild ■ Moderate  
NE Notes ● Algal growth ▲ Dense Aquatic Plant Beds



## Fish Habitat

Score ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre ■ Poor

Note: Overall Score of Fish Habitat was calculated by averaging scores for the five variables shown below.




## Manure Presence

■ None




## Invasive Species

◆ Giant Hogweed ● Japanese Knotweed ▲ Phragmites ■ Purple Loosestrife



## Aquatic Vegetation

■ Both ▲ Emergent ● Submerged



## OVERALL SVAP RATING

CAYUGA CREEK

Score ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre



# CAYUGA CREEK

STREAM VISUAL ASSESSMENT PROTOCOL (SVAP) RESULTS FOR REACH D

AVERAGE OVERALL SVAP RATING: **6.8**

BENNINGTON

Folsomdale Road

Schoellkopf Road


Road

Burrough Road

Tooley Road

Alleghany Road

**REACH D**



UPPER CAYUGA CREEK SUB-WATERSHED


- 10 Calculated Mile Marker
- ✕ Road Intersection
- ~ Cayuga Creek
- ~ SVAP Assessed Reach
- Watershed



0 0.5 1 Miles

FLOW DIRECTION

**Elevation Profile** Substrate ◆ Bedrock/Concrete ◆ Boulder ◆ Cobble ◆ Gravel




**REACH D**

**Channel Depth**



**Channel Width**



← FLOW DIRECTION

Creek Mile (14.17 at Tailwater, 12.57 at Headwater)

← FLOW DIRECTION

# Classification Scheme for SVAP Parameters

Color Classification				
SVAP Score (0 – 10)	0 – 2.5	2.6 – 5	5.1 – 7.5	7.6 – 10

## REACH D

### Channel Conditions

Score ■ Excellent ■ Good



### Stream Bank Hardening

■ NO ■ YES




### Aggradation/Incision

■ Aggradation ▲ Incision  
 I  
 —  
 A




### Bank Stability

Average LB/RB ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre ■ Poor




### Bank Condition

■ Hardened Structure ■ Natural



### Riparian Zone

Average LB/RB ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre



REACH D

Water Appearance

Score ■ Excellent ■ Good



Nutrient Enrichment


Amount ■ Low ■ Mild ■ Moderate  
NE Notes ● Algal growth ▲ Dense Aquatic Plant Beds



Fish Habitat

Score ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre ■ Poor

Note: Overall Score of Fish Habitat was calculated by averaging scores for the five variables shown below.




Manure Presence

■ Evidence of Animals zone ■ None



Invasive Species

● Japanese Knotweed ▲ Phragmites ■ Purple Loosestrife



Aquatic Vegetation

▲ Emergent ● Submerged



OVERALL SVAP RATING

CAYUGA CREEK


Score ■ Excellent ■ Good




# CAYUGA CREEK

STREAM VISUAL ASSESSMENT PROTOCOL (SVAP) RESULTS FOR REACH E & F


AVERAGE OVERALL SVAP RATING: **7.5**




**Elevation Profile**    Substrate    ◆ Cobble    ◆ Gravel    ◆ Sand    ◆ Silt/Clay



**Channel Depth**    **REACH E**    **REACH F**




**Channel Width**



← **FLOW DIRECTION**    **Creek Mile (7.54 at Tailwater, 3.21 at Headwater)**    **FLOW DIRECTION** →

# Classification Scheme for SVAP Parameters




REACH E


REACH F

Water Appearance

Score Excellent Good




Score Excellent Good




Nutrient Enrichment

Amount Low Mild NE Notes Algal Growth Both Dense Aquatic Plant Beds





Amount Low Mild NE Notes Algal Growth Both Dense Aquatic Plant Beds



Fish Habitat


Score Excellent Good Mediocre Poor

Note: Overall Score of Fish Habitat was calculated by averaging scores for the five variables shown below.




Manure Presence

None




None




Invasive Species

Japanese Knotweed Phragmites Purple Loosestrife




Japanese Knotweed Phragmites Purple Loosestrife




Aquatic Vegetation

Both Emergent Submerged



Both Emergent Submerged





OVERALL SVAP RATING

CAYUGA CREEK

Score Excellent Good Mediocre



Score Excellent Good Mediocre



# SLATE BOTTOM CREEK

STREAM VISUAL ASSESSMENT PROTOCOL (SVAP) RESULTS FOR REACH G

AVERAGE OVERALL SVAP RATING: **6.3**




# Classification Scheme for SVAP Parameters

Color Classification				
SVAP Score (0 – 10)	0 – 2.5	2.6 – 5	5.1 – 7.5	7.6 – 10

REACH G


## Channel Conditions

Score ■ Excellent ■ Good




## Stream Bank Hardening

■ NO ■ YES




## Aggradation/Widening

■ Aggradation ▲ Widening




## Bank Stability

Average LB/RB ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre ■ Poor




## Bank Condition

■ Hardened Structure ■ Natural



## Riparian Zone


Average LB/RB ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre ■ Poor



# REACH G


## Water Appearance

Score ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre ■ Poor



## Nutrient Enrichment


Amount ■ Low ■ Mild ■ Moderate  
 NE Notes ● Algal Growth ▲ Dense Aquatic Plant Beds



## Fish Habitat


Score ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre ■ Poor

Note: Overall Score of Fish Habitat was calculated by averaging scores for the five variables shown below.




## Manure Presence

■ None




## Invasive Species

● Japanese Knotweed ▲ Phragmites ■ Purple Loosestrife



## Aquatic Vegetation


■ Both ▲ Emergent ● Submerged



## OVERALL SVAP RATING


SLATE BOTTOM CREEK

Score ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre




**NORTH BRANCH PLUM BOTTOM CREEK**  
 STREAM VISUAL ASSESSMENT PROTOCOL (SVAP) RESULTS FOR REACH H

**AVERAGE OVERALL SVAP RATING: 6.8**




- Calculated Mile Marker
- ✕ Road Intersection
- ~ North Branch Plum Bottom Creek
- Plum Bottom Creek
- Village


**Elevation Profile** Substrate ◆ Cobble ◆ Gravel ◆ Sand ◆ Silt/Clay



**Channel Depth**



**Channel Width**



← **FLOW DIRECTION** **Creek Mile (5.73 at Tailwater, 3.42 at Headwater)** ← **FLOW DIRECTION**


# Classification Scheme for SVAP Parameters

Color Classification				
SVAP Score (0 – 10)	0 – 2.5	2.6 – 5	5.1 – 7.5	7.6 – 10

REACH H


## Channel Conditions

Score ■ Excellent ■ Good



## Stream Bank Hardening


■ NO ■ YES



## Aggradation/Widening


■ Aggradation ▲ Widening

I  
|  
A



## Bank Stability


Average LB/RB ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre ■ Poor



## Bank Condition

■ Hardened Structure ■ Natural


RB  
|  
LB



## Riparian Zone

Average LB/RB ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre ■ Poor

LB | Avg | RB



← FLOW DIRECTION


Creek Mile (5.73 at Tailwater, 3.42 at Headwater)

← FLOW DIRECTION

REACH H


Water Appearance

Score ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre



Nutrient Enrichment


Amount ■ Low ■ Mild ■ Moderate  
 NE Notes ● Algal growth ▲ Dense Aquatic Plant Beds



Fish Habitat


Score ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre ■ Poor

Note: Overall Score of Fish Habitat was calculated by averaging scores for the five variables shown below.




Manure Presence

■ None




Invasive Species

● Japanese Knotweed ▲ Phragmites ■ Purple Loosestrife



Aquatic Vegetation


■ Both ▲ Emergent ● Submerged



OVERALL SVAP RATING

NORTH BRANCH PLUM BOTTOM CREEK


Score ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre




# LITTLE BUFFALO CREEK

STREAM VISUAL ASSESSMENT PROTOCOL (SVAP) RESULTS FOR REACH I & J


AVERAGE OVERALL SVAP RATING: **7.3**




## Elevation Profile



## Channel Depth



## Channel Width



**FLOW DIRECTION** ← **Creek Mile (15.40 at Tailwater, 6.12 at Headwater)** ← **FLOW DIRECTION**

# Classification Scheme for SVAP Parameters

Color Classification				
SVAP Score (0 – 10)	0 – 2.5	2.6 – 5	5.1 – 7.5	7.6 – 10



REACH I

REACH J

## Channel Conditions

Score ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre ■ Poor



Score ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre ■ Poor



## Stream Bank Hardening

■ NO ■ YES

■ NO ■ YES




## Aggradation/Widening

■ Aggradation

▲ Widening

■ Aggradation


▲ Widening



## Bank Stability

Average LB/RB ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre ■ Poor


Average LB/RB ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre ■ Poor



## Bank Condition

■ Hardened Structure ■ Natural


■ Hardened Structure ■ Natural



## Riparian Zone

Average LB/RB ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre ■ Poor

Average LB/RB ■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Mediocre ■ Poor




REACH I

REACH J

Water Appearance

Score Excellent Good Mediocre



Score Excellent Good Mediocre



Nutrient Enrichment

Amount Low Mild Moderate None NE Notes Algal Growth Both Dense Aquatic Plant Beds



Amount Low Mild Moderate None NE Notes Algal Growth Both Dense Aquatic Plant Beds



Fish Habitat

Score Excellent Good Mediocre Poor


Note: Overall Score of Fish Habitat was calculated by averaging scores for the five variables shown below.



Manure Presence

Evidence of Animals zone None



Evidence of Animals zone None



Invasive Species

Japanese Knotweed Phragmites Purple Loosestrife


Japanese Knotweed Phragmites Purple Loosestrife



Aquatic Vegetation

Both Emergent Submerged

Both Emergent Submerged




OVERALL SVAP RATING

LITTLE BUFFALO CREEK



Score Excellent Good Mediocre

Score Excellent Good Mediocre




**RIGHT BRANCH CAYUGA CREEK AND FRENCH BROOK**  
SVAP SAMPLING RESULTS FOR REACH K AND L

**AVERAGE OVERALL SVAP RATING:**  
REACH K: **7.2**, REACH L: **6.9**



# Classification Scheme for SVAP Parameters

Color Classification				
SVAP Score (0 – 10)	0 – 2.5	2.6 – 5	5.1 – 7.5	7.6 – 10




REACH K


REACH L

Water Appearance

Score Excellent Good Mediocre



Score Excellent Good Mediocre



Nutrient Enrichment

Amount Low Mild Moderate NE Notes Algal growth Both Dense Aquatic Plant Beds




Amount Low Mild Moderate NE Notes Algal growth Both Dense Aquatic Plant Beds



Fish Habitat


Score Excellent Good Mediocre Poor

Note: Overall Score of Fish Habitat was calculated by averaging scores for the five variables shown below.




OVERALL SCORE

Canopy Cover Pools Invertebrate Habitat Instream Cover Riffle Embeddedness




Manure Presence

Evidence of Animals zone None




Evidence of Animals zone None




Invasive Species

Japanese Knotweed Phragmites Purple Loosestrife




Japanese Knotweed Phragmites Purple Loosestrife

Japanese Knotweed Phragmites Purple Loosestrife




Aquatic Vegetation

Both Emergent Submerged




Both Emergent Submerged




OVERALL SVAP RATING

Score Excellent Good



RIGHT BRANCH CAYUGA CREEK AND FRENCH BROOK


Score Excellent Good



# FENTON CREEK

STREAM VISUAL ASSESSMENT (SVAP) RESULTS FOR REACH M & N

AVERAGE OVERALL SVAP RATING: **6.8**




## Elevation Profile


Substrate ◆ Bedrock/Concrete ◆ Boulder ◆ Cobble ◆ Gravel ◆ Silt/Clay



## Channel Depth



## Channel Width




← FLOW DIRECTION Creek Mile (4.30 at Tailwater, 1.10 at Headwater) ← FLOW DIRECTION

# Classification Scheme for SVAP Parameters


Color Classification				
SVAP Score (0 – 10)	0 – 2.5	2.6 – 5	5.1 – 7.5	7.6 – 10

REACH M REACH N


## Channel Conditions




## Stream Bank Hardening




## Aggradation/Incision




## Bank Stability



## Bank Condition



## Riparian Zone




REACH M


REACH N

Water Appearance

Score Excellent Good Mediocre Poor



Score Excellent Good Mediocre Poor




Nutrient Enrichment

Amount Low Mild Moderate Severe NE Notes Algal Growth Both Dense Aquatic Plant Beds




Amount Low Mild Moderate Severe NE Notes Algal Growth Both Dense Aquatic Plant Beds



Fish Habitat


Score Excellent Good Mediocre Poor

Note: Overall Score of Fish Habitat was calculated by averaging scores for the five variables shown below.




OVERALL SCORE

Canopy Cover Pools Invertebrate Habitat Instream Cover Riffle Embeddedness




Manure Presence

None




None




Invasive Species

Japanese Knotweed Phragmites Purple Loosestrife




Japanese Knotweed Phragmites Purple Loosestrife

Japanese Knotweed Phragmites Purple Loosestrife



Aquatic Vegetation

Both Emergent Submerged




Both Emergent Submerged



OVERALL SVAP RATING

Score Excellent Good Mediocre



FENTON CREEK

Score Excellent Good Mediocre

